

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/893,596	BIONDI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kirsten S. Apple	3693

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kirsten S. Apple (Examiner).

(3) Hans Dreyer (applicant).

(2) James Kramer (SPE).

(4) Jason Chang & Griff Griffin (attorneys).

Date of Interview: 03 May 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: four changes to the independent claims were identified:

- 1) define tax lot
- 2) trading proposal MEETS selection criterion
- 3) incorporate claim 41 into claim 1
- 4) TAX selection criterion that is associated with tax consequences.